Integrated Modeling to Optimize Ecological and Agricultural Water Supply Enhancement

> Jason Keller, Scott Waibel, and Michael Milczarek GeoSystems Analysis, Inc.

#### Troy Baker Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council







### Location



# Water Resource Issues in the Walla Walla Basin

#### Over allocation

- Historic dewatering of river during summer (until 2000)
- Agreement to maintain minimum flows in Walla Walla River for fish habitat (25 cfs)

#### Endangered fisheries

- ESA listed Steelhead and Bull Trout
- Reintroduced Chinook Salmon
- Declining aquifer
  - Water table decline of 2 inches per year since 1950
- River seepage
  - Estimated 20% loss of stream flow (source of aquifer recharge)











# **Project Goals**

- Develop calibrated groundwater-surface water model for alluvial aquifer portion of the Walla Walla Basin
- Quantify current demands and distribution of water resources
- Evaluate surface water and groundwater management scenarios:
  - Baseline Current canal conditions and managed aquifer recharge (MAR) levels
  - Canal lining (piping) and:
    - No MAR

GeoSystems

- Current MAR
- Increase MAR
- Maximum MAR





# Current Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR)

- Water diverted from Walla Walla River (November to May)
- Permeable basins or infiltration galleries (perforated underground pipeline)
- Uses existing irrigation conveyance network
- Used as seasonal storage to:
  - Supplement irrigation
  - Build groundwater levels
  - Increase base flows





# Model Development and Calibration





## Integrated Water Flow Model

#### IWFM code developed by CA DWR

240 square miles



# Model Grid

- 16,215 model elements (average ~10 acres)
- > 8,294 nodes (average spacing ≈1,000 feet)
- 1,506 stream nodes
- 91 stream segments





### **Model Layers**

#### **Representative finite element**



### Land Use



#### Walla Walla Basin Model Flow System



# **Model Calibration**

- Sensitivity analysis on hydraulic conductivity parameters
- Systematically adjust sensitivity parameters to improve fit of simulation to data
- Calibration data
  2007-2009, 2011,
  2013
- Validation Data 2010 & 2012

GeoSystems Analysis, Inc.



# **Model Scenarios**





# **Model Inputs**

- Forward model projection (steady-state)
- Apply calibrated model parameters
- > Average daily data from model development period for:
  - Climate
  - GW boundary conditions
  - Stream inflows
  - Agricultural/municipal water use
- MAR rates





# **Model Scenarios**

- 1. Baseline Forward Model (BFM)
  - 9,014 acre-ft/yr MAR at 7 current active sites
  - No additional canal piping (50 miles piped canals)
- 2. Canal piping + no MAR (86 miles added piping)
- 3. Canal piping + current MAR
- 4. Canal piping + increased MAR
  - 14,566 acre-ft/yr MAR at 22 locations
- 5. Canal piping + maximum MAR
  - 24,201 acre-ft/yr MAR at 60 locations





### **MAR Locations**



# **Piping Locations**



# Model Results





# Groundwater Storage

- Seasonal changes in response to recharge and groundwater pumping
- > Pipe installation predicted to decrease aquifer storage if MAR



# Water Budget

#### Groundwater discharge to streams

- No MAR: 11% decrease
- Current MAR: 4% decrease
- Increased MAR: 0.5% decrease
- Maximum MAR: 9% increase



### **Change in Groundwater Elevation**









### **Streamflow Locations**



### Pepper Bridge



### Touchet



# Conclusions

Canal piping likely to have negative impact on groundwater resources and limit instream water savings

Combining piping with MAR can mitigate impacts

- Increased MAR nearly mitigates impact
- Maximum MAR (60 sites) provides most widespread benefit to fish habitat by allowing for significantly increased summer flows
- Conjunctive management of groundwater and surface water can provide water for irrigators while increasing summer flows





# Thank you!

#### More information at: http://www.gsanalysis.com/publications.html

